## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

GEORGE PRESTON,
KATHY PRESTON, DERRICK LEE SMITH, #267009.

JAMES THOMAS PRESTON,
MARTIN PRESTON,
RACHEL PRESTON,
Co-Plaintiffs,

Case:2:18-cv-10736
Judge: Roberts, Victoria A.
MJ: Stafford, Elizabeth A.
Filed: 03-05-2018 At 08:15 AM
PRIS DERRICK SMITH V HIEDI WASHINGT
ON, ET AL (LG)

VS
HIEDI WASHINGTON, Director of MDOC,
OFFICE OF POLICY DIERECTIVES,

Defendants, et al,

## 42 U.S.C. \$1982 PRISONER CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Derrick Lee Smith, hereby files and presents this CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT to the Eastern District of Michigan and, is joined by other Parties who seek to be co-plaintiffs within this case as that all parties are related within this case at bar.

Plaintiffs will be filing a BRIEF IN SUPPORT and the issue deals solely with the Michigan Department of Corrections Implementing of the CURRENT MAIL POLICY UNDER MDOC POLICY DIRECTIVE 05.03.118 and states that the current mail policy infringes upon Plaintiff Prisoner Derrick Lee Smith's right to receive mail and know who the mail was sent to and to have the address of that sender made known to the Plaintiff upon it being sent where the policy that is currently in place allows the Department of Corrections and its employees to TAKE A LETTER THAT IS SENT BY ONE OF THE CO-PLAINTIFFS. . WHO HAVE LISTED THEIR RETURN ADDRESS ON THE ENVELOPE, AND TO REMOVE THE LETTER FROM THE ENVELOPE AND DESTROY THE ENVELOPE PLAINTIFF LETTER THE JUST THE ΤO SEND THEN PRISONER....Without even placing the RETURN ADDRESS OF THE SENDER OF SAID LETTER ON THE NEW ENVELOPE THAT THE INCOMING MAIL ROOM PROCESSOR IS PLACING SAID LETTER INTO .... And yet, when LEGAL MAIL COMES IN, THE ENVELOPE IS COPIED FULLY SO THAT PLAINTIFF PRISONER KNOWS WHO SENT IT .. MAIL IS MAIL, LEGAL OR NOT AND IT SHOULD NOT BE INTERFERED WITH IN ANY WAY, AND BY THE DEFENDANTS IMPLEMENTING THIS NEW POLICY, IT VIOLATES THE PLAINTIFF PRISONERS FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS TO MAIL AND PRESS AND RELIGION...And the new policy conflicts with incoming legal mail policy, when in fact the present mailroon policy is an infringment of the Plaintiffs rights... MOTION TO WAIVE FEES

Plaintiff Prisoner is in fact indigent and cannot afford to cover the costs of the Entry fee on this matter and has no asserts and is in prison for quite a number of years and has nothing to convert to cash to cover the costs associated with



this filing fee involved in this matter and now submits to the court the encloses statement of account activity sheet and states that the Plaintiff cannot afford to pay the cost of the entry fee for the case and asks it to be waived..

All Plaintiffs are in fact indigent.

Thank you.

Derrick Lee Smith

267009-4-139a

MCF-2400 S. Sheridan Drive

reolon

Muskegon, MI 49442

Kathy/Preston

3. Gration Blvd

Marysville, MI 48040 Macheraton

Rachel Preston

3. Gratiot Blud

Marysville, MI 48040

Georg Preston

George Preston 7541 Yale Road Avoca, MI 48006

James Thomas Preston

1. Thation Blud

Maxysville MY 48040

Martin Preston
3. Gration Blud
Marysville, MI 48040

MR. DERRICK LEE SMITH
#267009-4-139A
MUSKEGON CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
2400 S. SHERIDAN DRIVE
MUSKEGON, MI 49442

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
231 W. LAFAYETTE BLVD
DETROIT, MI 48226





48225\$2794 COO8

դերիկիրուկիրովիկիրդիկիրդիկիրությունիկի

SASU @

THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT





## **CIVIL COVER SHEET FOR PRISONER CASES**

Case No. 18-10736 Judge: Victoria A. Roberts Magistrate Judge: Elizabeth A. Stafford	
Name of 1 <sup>st</sup> Listed Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Name of 1 <sup>st</sup> Listed Defendant/Respondent:
DERRICK LEE SMITH ET AL	HIEDI WASHINGTON
Inmate Number: 267009	Additional Information:
Plaintiff/Petitioner's Attorney and Address Information:	DM-no fee no copies no ifp app
Correctional Facility:	
Muskegon Correctional Facility	
2400 S. Sheridan Muskegon, MI 49442	
MUSKEGON COUNTY	
BASIS OF JURISDICTION	ORIGIN
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant 区 3 Federal Question	⊠ 1 Original Proceeding  ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District Court
A 3 receital Question	☐ Other:
NATURE OF SUIT	
⊑ 530 Habeas Corpus ⊏ 540 Mandamus	FEE STATUS
⊠ 550 Civil Rights	☑ IFP <i>In Forma Pauperis</i>
☐ 555 Prison Conditions	□ PD Paid
PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11	
1. Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	
☐ Yes	
-	
Judge:	
2. Other than stated above, are there any pending or prevother court, including state court? (Companion cases	riously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)
Court:	